IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12241 OF 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

RAMANBHAI UDAYSINH ZALA

Versus

P.T.STEEL INDUSTRIES

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 12241 of 2004

MR. T.R. MISHRA for Petitioner

MR. B.D. KARIA for Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 11/10/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Rule.

Mr.B.D.Karia, learned Advocate, appears and waives service of Rule on behalf of the respondent.

2. This petition is filed by one Ramanbhai Udaysinh Zala, who is one amongst 61 workmen, whose services are alleged to have been terminated by the respondent. The Reference is pending before the learned Judge of the Labour Court, Ahmedabad, being Reference (LCA) No.1052 of 2004.

3. Mr.T.R.Mishra, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner, complains that the matter is adjourned for unreasonably long period; and, at present, the matter stands adjourned to 3rd December, 2004. Mr.Mishra further complains that despite the request made to the learned Judge by Exh.3, page 19, the learned Judge has not preponed the date. A similar request was also made by Exh.4.

3. Taking into consideration the fact that the matter pertains to as many as 61 workmen and the request, being found reasonable, of giving shorter date so as to see that the matter is heard early, the learned Judge of the Labour Court is directed to give priority to the hearing of the matter and decide the same as early as possible, but, not later than 30th April, 2005. The petition stands disposed of accordingly. Rule is made absolute. No order as to costs.

Direct Service is permitted.

(Ravi R. Tripathi, J.)
kamlesh*